

TWO FAMILIES OF n -RECTANGLE NONCONFORMING FINITE ELEMENTS FOR SIXTH-ORDER ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS*

Xianlin Jin and Shuonan Wu¹⁾

School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

Emails: xianlincn@pku.edu.cn, snwu@math.pku.edu.cn

Abstract

In this paper, we propose two families of nonconforming finite elements on n -rectangle meshes of any dimension to solve the sixth-order elliptic equations. The unisolvent property and the approximation ability of the new finite element spaces are established. A new mechanism, called the exchange of sub-rectangles, for investigating the weak continuities of the proposed elements is discovered. With the help of some conforming relatives for the H^3 problems, we establish the quasi-optimal error estimate for the triharmonic equation in the broken H^3 norm of any dimension. The theoretical results are validated further by the numerical tests in both 2D and 3D situations.

Mathematics subject classification: 65N30.

Key words: Nonconforming finite element method, n -Rectangle element, Sixth-order elliptic equation, Exchange of sub-rectangles.

1. Introduction

Sixth-order partial differential equations have been widely used to model various physical laws and dynamics in material sciences and phase field problems [6, 11]. Owing such a significance in these areas, however, methods for solving the sixth-order equations are insufficient and less studied compared with the lower-order equations from both theoretical and numerical aspects. From a practical point of view, nonconforming finite element method is one of the frequently desired numerical methods for high order partial differential equations. In terms of solving sixth-order equations, the usage of nonconforming spaces allows us to avoid the requirement of C^2 -continuity which causes high complexity for the implementation. Having a smaller set of degrees of freedom (DoFs) and a shrunken space of shape functions, yet the nonconforming finite elements should conceivably possess some basic weak continuity properties [20] to preserve the convergence of the numerical solutions. Therefore, the design of such exquisite finite element spaces can be challenging for certain problems, especially in high dimensional situations.

Starting from the solving of fourth-order equations, there are several well-known nonconforming finite elements like the Morley element and the Zienkiewicz element designed on two-dimensional simplicial meshes. A similar idea was then applied to high dimensional case [21], which generalizes the Zienkiewicz element to n -dimensional simplexes where $n \geq 2$. Further, Wang and Xu [23] proposed a family of nonconforming finite elements on simplexes named by the Morley-Wang-Xu element to solve $2m$ -th-order elliptic equations where $m \leq n$. This result has been extended to $m = n + 1$ in [25], and to arbitrary m, n with interior stabilization [24].

* Received March 10, 2023 / Revised version received May 23, 2023 / Accepted September 27, 2023 /

Published online December 22, 2023 /

¹⁾ Corresponding author

Restricted to the low-dimensional cases, the nonconforming finite element spaces for H^2 can be seen in [7], and for H^3 or higher regularity can be found in [17, 18].

On the simplicial meshes, other types of discretization besides the nonconforming finite element method for sixth-order partial differential equations may also be feasible. In two-dimensional case, the H^3 conforming finite element was constructed in [26] and can be generalized to arbitrary H^m [3]. Recently, a construction of conforming finite element spaces with arbitrary smoothness in any dimension was given in [14]. Others include mixed methods [10, 19], C^0 interior penalty discontinuous Galerkin method [12], recovery-based method [13], and virtual element methods [8].

As for rectangle meshes, successful constructions of finite element such as the Adini element [1] of C^0 smoothness and Bogner-Fox-Schmidt element (BFS, [2]) of C^1 smoothness were made on two-dimensional grids, whose DoFs are all defined on vertices of rectangles. After an extension [22] to the n -rectangle meshes of any high dimensional spaces where $n \geq 2$, the Adini element and the BFS element possess only C^0 smoothness, and yet their solvabilities to the fourth-order equations have both been remained. Furthermore, an extended version of the Morley element to the n -rectangle meshes was also reported in [22]. For the biharmonic equation, a new family of n -rectangle nonconforming finite element by enriching the second-order serendipity element was constructed in [27]. For arbitrary smoothness, a family of minimal n -rectangle macro-elements was established in [16].

Wang *et al.* [22] showed that the Morley, Adini and BFS elements are of the first-order convergence in the energy norm for solving the biharmonic equation. A more delicate analysis proposed in [15] reveals that the Adini element is capable of reaching a second-order convergence in the energy norm and has an optimal second-order convergence in the L^2 -norm. It cannot be overlooked that theories of nonconforming finite element methods are well-prepared for the fourth-order equations on a variety of n -rectangle discretizations, yet very little is extended to the solving of sixth-order problems.

In this paper, we develop two families of n -rectangle nonconforming finite elements for sixth-order partial differential equations. Both the two families of elements are constructed by enriching the DoFs of the n -rectangle Adini element [22] and the corresponding shape function space. Following the well-developed projection-averaging strategy [22], we give the definition of the interpolation operator in high dimensional cases for both two families of elements. It can be shown that the shape function spaces are capable of approximating $H^{3+s}(\Omega)$ for any $s \in [0, 1]$ in an arbitrarily high dimension, which are essential to the error estimate afterwards.

Furthermore, analysis of the weak continuity properties usually plays an important role in the investigation of a nonconforming finite element. Reasonably, difficulties brought by the sixth-order differential operator $(-\Delta)^3$ mainly occur when considering the weak continuities of the following second-order derivatives of the finite element function: the tangential-tangential ($\partial_{\tau\tau}$), normal-normal ($\partial_{\nu\nu}$) and tangential-normal ($\partial_{\tau\nu}$) derivatives across the $(n-1)$ -dimensional faces of an element T . It is possible to make use of the interpolations of other well-known n -rectangle finite elements to locally estimate the terms of $\partial_{\tau\tau}$ and $\partial_{\nu\nu}$. However, the analysis of $\partial_{\tau\nu}$ is much more complicated than those terms above for both the two families of elements, so that we only consider estimating this term in a more global manner. We therefore propose a new technique called exchange of sub-rectangles to deal with this complicated term. Combining the results of weak continuities and the help of conforming relatives, we complete estimating the consistency error, which gives the final error estimate by applying the well-known Strang's lemma.

Given a multi-index $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$, we set $|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$ and $x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. For a subset $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and a nonnegative integer r , let $\mathcal{P}_r(B)$ and $Q_r(B)$ be the spaces of polynomial on B defined by

$$\mathcal{P}_r(B) := \text{span}\{x^\alpha \mid |\alpha| \leq r\}, \quad Q_r(B) := \text{span}\{x^\alpha \mid \alpha_i \leq r\}.$$

Moreover, we denote by $Q_1^i(B)$ the subspace of $Q_1(B)$ with no dependence on x_i , i.e.

$$Q_1^i(B) := \text{span}\{x^\alpha \mid \alpha_i = 0, \alpha_j \leq 1\}. \quad (1.1)$$

For any finite dimensional sets of functions A and B , we denote by

$$A \cdot B := \text{span}\{ab \mid a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

In this paper, we will also use the notation $x \lesssim y$ to represent $x \leq Cy$ for some constant C independent of the crucial parameter such as the mesh size h .

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce some basic notations and give definitions to the two families of n -rectangle nonconforming finite element. Unisolvent properties and part of the weak continuities are also developed herein. The approximation properties of the nonconforming spaces are discussed and proved in Section 3, where same methods are used to verify the existence of some necessary conforming relatives. In Section 4 we present the main technique of analyzing the weak continuity of $\partial_{\tau\nu}$ derivatives and several attached conclusions. Finally we give the full estimate of the numerical solutions of our new finite elements in Section 5 and three numerical examples to verify our theories in Section 6. Concluding remarks are given in Section 7.

2. H^3 -Nonconforming n -Rectangle Elements

In this section, we construct two families of H^3 -nonconforming elements which are defined on the n -rectangle meshes. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 2$) denote a bounded polyhedral domain with boundary $\partial\Omega$, $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_n)^\top$ be the unit outer normal vector to $\partial\Omega$, and $\mathcal{T}_h$ be a quasi-uniform n -rectangle discretization on Ω with the mesh size $h > 0$.

Throughout this paper, we will use the standard notations of the Sobolev spaces. Let $m \geq 0$ be an integer, we define the following mesh-dependent norm and semi-norm:

$$\|v\|_{m,h} = \left(\sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \|v\|_{m,T}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad |v|_{m,h} = \left(\sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} |v|_{m,T}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for a function v with $v|_T \in H^m(T)$ for any $T \in \mathcal{T}_h$.

2.1. Preliminaries

For a given point $c = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n$ being n positive numbers, an n -rectangle T is described in the barycentric coordinate $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n)^\top$ as follows:

$$T = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_i = c_i + h_i \xi_i, -1 \leq \xi_i \leq 1, 1 \leq i \leq n\} \quad (2.1)$$

with 2^n vertices given by

$$a_i := (c_1 + \xi_{i1}h_1, c_2 + \xi_{i2}h_2, \dots, c_n + \xi_{in}h_n)^\top, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 2^n.$$

Here, the values $(\xi_{i1}, \xi_{i2}, \dots, \xi_{in})^\top = (\pm 1, \pm 1, \dots, \pm 1)^\top$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2^n$. The $(n-1)$ -dimensional faces of the element T are denoted by

$$F_i^\pm := \{x \in \partial T \mid \xi_i = \pm 1, -1 \leq \xi_j \leq 1, 1 \leq j \leq n, j \neq i\}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

whose barycenters are written as $b_i^\pm := (c_1, \dots, c_{i-1}, c_i \pm h_i, c_{i+1}, \dots, c_n)^\top$.

Following the standard description in [5], a finite element can be represented by a triple $(T, \mathcal{P}_T, \mathcal{N}_T)$, where T , taken as an n -rectangle (2.1), describes the geometric shape, $\mathcal{P}_T$ the shape function space and $\mathcal{N}_T$ the vector of degrees of freedom. We first review several n -rectangle finite elements that will be helpful for further analysis.

1. n -rectangle Q_1 element: $\mathcal{P}_T := Q_1(T)$ and the DoFs are defined as

$$\mathcal{N}_T(v) = (v(a_1), v(a_2), \dots, v(a_{2^n}))^\top.$$

Further, it is well-known that the polynomials

$$p_{0i} = \frac{1}{2^n} \prod_{j=1}^n (1 + \xi_{ij} \xi_j), \quad 1 \leq i \leq 2^n \quad (2.2)$$

form a set of basis functions of the space $Q_1(T)$. Accordingly, the canonical interpolation operator $\Pi_T^0 : C^0(T) \rightarrow Q_1(T)$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{N}_T(\Pi_T^0 v) = \mathcal{N}_T(v) \quad \text{or} \quad \Pi_T^0 v := \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} p_{0i} v(a_i), \quad \forall v \in C^0(T).$$

2. n -rectangle Adini element [22]: $\mathcal{P}_T := Q_1(T) \cdot \text{span}\{1, x_i^2 \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ and the DoFs are defined as

$$\mathcal{N}_T(v) = (v(a_1), \nabla v(a_1)^\top, v(a_2), \nabla v(a_2)^\top, \dots, v(a_{2^n}), \nabla v(a_{2^n})^\top)^\top.$$

The canonical interpolation operator is denoted by Π_T^1 .

3. n -rectangle partial Adini element: $\mathcal{P}_T := Q_1(T) \cdot \text{span}\{1, x_i^2\}$, and the DoFs are defined as

$$\mathcal{N}_T(v) = \left(v(a_1), \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i}(a_1), v(a_2), \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i}(a_2), \dots, v(a_{2^n}), \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i}(a_{2^n}) \right)^\top.$$

The canonical interpolation operator is denoted by $\Pi_T^{e_i}$.

For any v in the finite element spaces by the above elements, on any $(n-1)$ -dimensional face F of $T \in \mathcal{T}_h$, the restriction of $v|_F$ is a polynomial of $(n-1)$ variables in the shape function space $\mathcal{P}(F)$. Then $v|_F$ is uniquely determined by the DoFs on F (which also proves the unisolvent properties of the above elements by induction on the dimension). Therefore, v is continuous through F . Next, for any piecewise smooth function v with the same inter-element degrees of freedom, the interpolation operator can be given element by element, i.e.

$$\Pi_h^\beta|_T v := \Pi_T^\beta v, \quad \forall T \in \mathcal{T}_h, \quad \beta = \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_i, \text{ or } \mathbf{1}. \quad (2.3)$$

Here, we unify the notations by denoting β_i as the highest order of derivative along x_i .

2.2. n -rectangle Morley-type element

Define

$$\mathcal{P}_M(T) := Q_1(T) \cdot \text{span} \{1, x_i^2 \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\} + \text{span} \{x_i^4, x_i^5 \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}. \quad (2.4)$$

It can be verified that $\mathcal{P}_3(T) \subset \mathcal{P}_M(T)$. For the n -rectangle Morley-type element, $\mathcal{P}_T$ and $\mathcal{N}_T$ are given by (see Fig. 2.1):

- $\mathcal{P}_T = \mathcal{P}_M(T)$.
- For $v \in C^2(T)$, the vector $\mathcal{N}_T(v)$ of degree of freedom is

$$\mathcal{N}_T(v) = \left(v(a_1), \nabla v(a_1)^\top, \dots, v(a_{2n}), \nabla v(a_{2n})^\top, \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \nu^2}(b_1^\pm), \dots, \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \nu^2}(b_n^\pm) \right)^\top.$$

The basis functions of the n -rectangle Morley element is denoted by p_{0i} (i.e. corresponding to the nodal values), p_{ji} (i.e. corresponding to $\partial v(a_i)/\partial x_j$), and $r_k^\pm$ (i.e. corresponding to the second normal derivative on the face center $b_k^\pm$), which are given by

$$\begin{cases} p_{0i} = \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \left(2 + \sum_{k=1}^n (\xi_{ik} \xi_k - \xi_k^2) \right) \prod_{k=1}^n (1 + \xi_{ik} \xi_k) \\ \quad + \frac{3}{2^{n+3}} \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_{ik} \xi_k (\xi_k^2 - 1)^2, & 1 \leq i \leq 2^n, \\ p_{ji} = \frac{h_j \xi_{ij}}{2^{n+1}} (\xi_j^2 - 1) \prod_{k=1}^n (1 + \xi_{ik} \xi_k) \\ \quad - \frac{h_j}{2^{n+3}} (\xi_{ij} + 3\xi_j) (\xi_j^2 - 1)^2, & 1 \leq i \leq 2^n, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n, \\ r_k^\pm = \pm \frac{h_k^2}{16} (\xi_k + 1)^2 (\xi_k - 1)^2 (\xi_k \pm 1), & 1 \leq k \leq n. \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

For the n -rectangle Morley-type element, we can define the corresponding H^3 -nonconforming finite element spaces V_h and V_{h0} as follows: V_h consists of all functions v_h such that for any $T \in \mathcal{T}_h$:




Fig. 2.1. Degrees of freedom of the H^3 -nonconforming Morley-type element.

$$(1) \quad v_h|_T \in \mathcal{P}_M(T),$$

$$(2) \quad v_h \text{ is } C^1\text{-continuous at all vertices of } T,$$

(3) the second normal derivatives of v_h is continuous at the barycenters of all $(n-1)$ -dimensional faces of T .

V_{h0} consists of all functions $v_h \in V_h$ such that for any $T \in \mathcal{T}_h$, v_h and ∇v_h vanish at the vertices of T belonging to $\partial\Omega$ and the second normal derivative of v_h vanishes at the barycenter of all $(n-1)$ -dimensional faces of T on $\partial\Omega$.

It can be seen that the DoFs for Morley-type finite element consists of that for Adini finite element space and the second-order normal derivative on faces. Moreover, $\mathcal{P}_M(T)$ contains the shape function space of the Adini element. Therefore,

$$(v_h - \Pi_h^1 v_h)|_T \in \text{span} \{r_k^\pm \mid 1 \leq k \leq n\}, \quad \forall v_h \in V_h. \quad (2.6)$$

Here, we recall that Π_h^1 stands for the interpolation to Adini finite element space (2.3).

Lemma 2.1 (Tangential-Tangential Weak Continuity for Morley). *Let V_h and V_{h0} be the finite element spaces of the n -rectangle Morley-type element. Then,*

$$\int_F \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau_1 \partial \tau_2} (v|_T) = \int_F \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau_1 \partial \tau_2} (v|_{T'}), \quad \forall v \in V_h, \quad (2.7)$$

where $T, T' \in \mathcal{T}_h$ share a common $(n-1)$ -dimensional interior face F , τ_1 and τ_2 are the unit tangential vectors on F . Moreover, if an $(n-1)$ -dimensional face F of $T \in \mathcal{T}_h$ is on $\partial\Omega$, then

$$\int_F \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau_1 \partial \tau_2} (v|_T) = 0, \quad \forall v \in V_{h0}. \quad (2.8)$$

Proof. We first observe that the basis function $r_k^\pm$ depends only on ξ_k and vanishes on $F_k^\pm$. On any face $F_j^\pm$ ($j \neq k$), we have

$$\int_{F_j^\pm} \frac{\partial^2 r_k^\pm}{\partial x_k^2} = h_k^{-2} \int_{F_j^\pm} \frac{\partial^2 r_k^\pm}{\partial \xi_k^2} = 2^{n-2} h_k^{-2} |F_j^\pm| \left. \frac{\partial r_k^\pm}{\partial \xi_k} \right|_{\xi_k=-1}^{\xi_k=1} = 0.$$

Using (2.6) and the fact that the Adini finite element space is continuous [22], we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_F \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau_1 \partial \tau_2} (v|_T) - \int_F \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau_1 \partial \tau_2} (v|_{T'}) \\ &= \int_F \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau_1 \partial \tau_2} (v - \Pi_h^1 v|_T) - \int_F \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau_1 \partial \tau_2} (v - \Pi_h^1 v|_{T'}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (2.7). For $v \in V_{h0}$, we have $\Pi_h^1 v|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, which leads to (2.8). $\square$

Lemma 2.2 (Normal-Normal Weak Continuity for Morley). *Let V_h and V_{h0} be the finite element spaces of the n -rectangle Morley-type element. Then,*

$$\int_F \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \nu^2} (v|_T) = \int_F \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \nu^2} (v|_{T'}), \quad \forall v \in V_h, \quad (2.9)$$

where $T, T' \in \mathcal{T}_h$ share a common $(n-1)$ -dimensional interior face F . Moreover, if an $(n-1)$ -dimensional face F of $T \in \mathcal{T}_h$ is on $\partial\Omega$, then

$$\int_F \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \nu^2} (v|_T) = 0, \quad \forall v \in V_{h0}. \quad (2.10)$$

Proof. On any face $F_k^\pm$, a straightforward calculation leads to

$$h_k^2 \frac{\partial^2 p_{0i}}{\partial \nu^2} \Big|_{F_k^\pm} = h_k^2 \frac{\partial^2 p_{0i}}{\partial x_k^2} \Big|_{\xi_k = \pm 1} = \mp \frac{3}{2^n} \xi_{ik} \prod_{j \neq k} (1 + \xi_{ij} \xi_j) \pm \frac{3}{2^n} \xi_{ik}, \quad (2.11)$$

and for p_{ji} with $1 \leq j \leq n$, we have $\partial^2 p_{ji} / \partial x_k^2 = 0$ if $k \neq j$, and for $k = j$,

$$h_j \frac{\partial^2 p_{ji}}{\partial \nu^2} \Big|_{F_j^\pm} = h_j \frac{\partial^2 p_{ji}}{\partial x_j^2} \Big|_{\xi_j = \pm 1} = \frac{1}{2^n} (\xi_{ij} \pm 3) \prod_{k \neq j} (1 + \xi_{ik} \xi_k) - \frac{1}{2^n} (\xi_{ij} \pm 3). \quad (2.12)$$

A straightforward computation gives

$$\int_{F_k^\pm} \frac{\partial^2 p_{ji}}{\partial \nu^2} = 0, \quad 0 \leq j \leq n. \quad (2.13)$$

Moreover, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{F_k^+} \frac{\partial^2 r_j^+}{\partial x_j^2} &= \begin{cases} |F_k^+|, & j = k, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ \int_{F_k^-} \frac{\partial^2 r_j^-}{\partial x_j^2} &= \begin{cases} |F_k^-|, & j = k, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

and

$$\int_{F_k^+} \frac{\partial^2 r_j^-}{\partial x_j^2} = \int_{F_k^-} \frac{\partial^2 r_j^+}{\partial x_j^2} = 0 \quad (2.15)$$

for all $1 \leq j \leq n$. This gives the desired result. $\square$

2.3. The n -rectangle Adini-type element

Define

$$\mathcal{P}_A(T) = Q_1(T) \cdot \text{span} \{1, x_i^2, x_i^4 \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}. \quad (2.16)$$

It is straightforward that $\mathcal{P}_3(T) \subset \mathcal{P}_A(T)$. The Adini-type element (see Fig. 2.2) is then given by the triple $(T, \mathcal{P}_T, \mathcal{N}_T)$, where




Fig. 2.2. H^3 -nonconforming Adini-type element.

- $\mathcal{P}_T = \mathcal{P}_A(T)$.
- For $v \in C^2(T)$, the vector $\mathcal{N}_T(v)$ of degree of freedom is

$$\mathcal{N}_T(v) = (v(a_1), \nabla v(a_1)^\top, D_p^2 v(a_1)^\top, \dots, v(a_{2^n}), \nabla v(a_{2^n})^\top, D_p^2 v(a_{2^n})^\top)^\top, \quad (2.17)$$

in which

$$D_p^2 = \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2}, \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2}, \dots, \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2} \right)^\top$$

denotes the vector of all pure second-order differential operators.

Instead of writing the explicit formulation of basis functions, below we show the unisolvent property of the Adini-type element using an inductive argument.

Lemma 2.3 (Unisolvent Property of the Adini-Type Element). *For the n -dimensional Adini-type element, $\mathcal{N}_T$ is $\mathcal{P}_T$ -unisolvent.*

Proof. Since the dimensions of both $\mathcal{P}_A(T)$ and the number of DoFs are $2^n(2n+1)$, it suffices to show that if $v \in \mathcal{P}_A(T)$ vanishes on $\mathcal{N}_T$ then $v = 0$.

The case in which $n = 1$ is standard. Assume that the conclusion is true for $n = k$ ($k \geq 1$).

Now let $n = k + 1$. We write $v = v(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n)$. On the k -dimensional face $F_i^\pm$ on which $\xi_i = \pm 1$, v is a polynomial of $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{i-1}, \xi_{i+1}, \dots, \xi_n$ in k -dimensional shape function space $\mathcal{P}_A(F_i^\pm)$. Clearly, $\mathcal{N}_{F_i^\pm}(v)$, which consists of the point-values, gradients, and pure second-order derivatives at vertices of $F_i^\pm$, will vanish from the definition of $\mathcal{N}_T$. Hence, $v|_{F_i^\pm} = 0$ by the inductive assumption. This leads to a factor $\prod_{i=1}^n (\xi_i^2 - 1)$ of v . Consequently, $v = 0$. $\square$

We define the finite element space V_h and V_{h0} as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} V_h &= \left\{ v_h \in L^2(\Omega) : v_h|_T \in \mathcal{P}_A(T), v_h, \frac{\partial v_h}{\partial x_j}, \frac{\partial^2 v_h}{\partial x_j^2} \text{ are continuous} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \text{at all vertices of elements in } \mathcal{T}_h, 1 \leq j \leq n \right\}, \\ V_{h0} &= \left\{ v_h \in V_h : v_h, \frac{\partial v_h}{\partial x_j}, \frac{\partial^2 v_h}{\partial x_j^2} \text{ vanish at vertices along } \partial\Omega \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

From the proof of unisolvent property, we directly see that $V_h \subset H^1(\Omega)$ and $V_{h0} \subset H_0^1(\Omega)$. In fact, when restricting $v \in V_h$ on an $(n-1)$ -dimensional face F , $v|_F$ is uniquely defined $\mathcal{N}_F$, which yields the continuity of v . Further, if $v \in V_{h0}$ and $F \subset \partial\Omega$, then $v|_F = 0$.

Lemma 2.4 (Normal-Normal Strong Continuity for Adini). *Let V_h and V_{h0} be the finite element spaces of the n -rectangle Adini-type element. Then,*

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \nu^2}(v|_T) \Big|_F = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \nu^2}(v|_{T'}) \Big|_F, \quad \forall v \in V_h, \quad (2.18)$$

where $T, T' \in \mathcal{T}_h$ share a common $(n-1)$ -dimensional interior face F . Moreover, if an $(n-1)$ -dimensional face F of $T \in \mathcal{T}_h$ is on $\partial\Omega$, then

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \nu^2}(v|_T) \Big|_F = 0, \quad \forall v \in V_{h0}. \quad (2.19)$$

Proof. We prove the case for $F_{T,i}^\pm$ in which $\partial^2/\partial\nu^2 = \partial^2/\partial x_i^2$. Recall that Π_h^0 is the global n -linear interpolation operator to Q_1 -FEM space, the pure second-order derivatives at vertices belong to the DoFs of the Adini-type element, then $\Pi_h^0 \partial^2 v / \partial x_i^2 \in H^1(\Omega)$.

Since $v|_T \in Q_1(T) \cdot \text{span}\{1, x_j^2, x_j^4 \mid 1 \leq j \leq n\}$, then we have $\partial^2(v|_T)/\partial x_i^2 \in Q_1(T) \cdot \text{span}\{1, \xi_i^2\}$ and whence

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x_i^2} - \Pi_h^0 \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x_i^2} \right) \Big|_{F_{T,i}^\pm} \in Q_1(F_{T,i}^\pm).$$

Notice that the left-hand side vanishes at all vertices of $F_{T,i}^\pm$, which leads to

$$\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x_i^2} \Big|_{F_{T,i}^\pm} = \Pi_h^0 \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x_i^2} \Big|_{F_{T,i}^\pm}. \quad (2.20)$$

For $v \in V_{h0}$, we have $\Pi_h^0 \partial^2 v / \partial x_i^2 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, which leads to (2.19). $\square$

3. Approximation Property

In this section, we consider the approximation property of the Adini-type element and the Morley-type element. The interpolation error analysis of these finite element spaces in any dimension is established by using the projection-averaging technique. In Section 3.2 we extend our investigation to some conforming relatives. Following similar ideas, we sketch the proofs of the error estimate and the stability of the conforming interpolation operator.

3.1. Interpolation error of the H^3 nonconforming element

In this section, we will analyze the approximation property of the finite element spaces V_h and V_{h0} . To start with, we have the following result for low-dimensional cases.

Theorem 3.1. *Let Π_T be the interpolation operator of the n -rectangle Morley-type element or the n -rectangle Adini-type finite element. If $n \leq 3$ then for any $T \in \mathcal{T}_h$,*

$$|v - \Pi_T v|_{m,T} \lesssim h^{4-m} |v|_{4,T}, \quad 0 \leq m \leq 4, \quad \forall v \in H^4(T). \quad (3.1)$$

Theorem 3.1 can be obtained from the standard interpolation theory (c.f. [9]) and the result is already enough for practical cases. However, we are interested in attaining similar results for a more generic case in which $n \geq 2$.

Theorem 3.2 (Approximation Property). *Let V_h and V_{h0} be the finite element spaces of the n -rectangle Morley-type element or the n -rectangle Adini-type element. Then, for any $s \in [0, 1]$,*

$$\inf_{v_h \in V_h} \sum_{m=0}^3 h^m |v - v_h|_{m,h} \lesssim h^{3+s} |v|_{3+s,\Omega}, \quad \forall v \in H^{3+s}(\Omega), \quad (3.2)$$

$$\inf_{v_h \in V_{h0}} \sum_{m=0}^3 h^m |v - v_h|_{m,h} \lesssim h^{3+s} |v|_{3+s,\Omega}, \quad \forall v \in H^{3+s}(\Omega) \cap H_0^3(\Omega). \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. The proof is based on the well-established projection-averaging technique (c.f. [22]). For conciseness and completeness, we present the proof of (3.3) for the n -rectangle Adini-type

element. For a function $v \in H^{3+s}(\Omega) \cap H_0^3(\Omega)$, we define $w_h \in L^2(\Omega)$ as the L^2 -projection of v onto $\mathcal{P}_A(T)$ for each $T \in \mathcal{T}_h$, namely,

$$w_h|_T \in \mathcal{P}_A(T), \quad \int_T w_h q \, dx = \int_T v q \, dx, \quad \forall q \in \mathcal{P}_A(T), \quad T \in \mathcal{T}_h.$$

Since $\mathcal{P}_3(T) \subset \mathcal{P}_A(T)$, then the standard interpolation theory of L^2 -projection [5] gives the following bound:

$$|v - w_h|_{m,T} \lesssim h^{3+s-m} |v|_{3+s,T}, \quad 0 \leq m \leq 3, \quad T \in \mathcal{T}_h. \quad (3.4)$$

Given a set $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, define $\mathcal{T}_h(B) = \{T \in \mathcal{T}_h : T \cap B \neq \emptyset\}$ and let $N_h(B)$ be the number of elements in $\mathcal{T}_h(B)$. In what follows, we will use the notation $w_h^T = w_h|_T$ for simplicity. Now we define the interpolation $v_h \in V_{h0}$ by taking the average of the DoFs. For a_i being an interior vertex of Ω , let

$$v_h(a_i) := \frac{1}{N_h(a_i)} \sum_{T' \in \mathcal{T}_h(a_i)} w_h^{T'}(a_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n, \quad (3.5)$$

$$\frac{\partial v_h(a_i)}{\partial x_j} := \frac{1}{N_h(a_i)} \sum_{T' \in \mathcal{T}_h(a_i)} \frac{\partial w_h^{T'}(a_i)}{\partial x_j}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (3.6)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 v_h(a_i)}{\partial x_j^2} := \frac{1}{N_h(a_i)} \sum_{T' \in \mathcal{T}_h(a_i)} \frac{\partial^2 w_h^{T'}(a_i)}{\partial x_j^2}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (3.7)$$

Let $\phi_h := w_h - v_h$ and obviously $\phi_h^T \in \mathcal{P}_A(T)$ on each $T \in \mathcal{T}_h$. By a standard scaling argument, we find that, for $0 \leq m \leq 3$,

$$|\phi_h|_{m,T}^2 \lesssim h^{n-2m} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2^n} |\phi_h^T(a_i)|^2 + h^2 \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} \sum_{j=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial \phi_h^T(a_i)}{\partial x_j} \right|^2 + h^4 \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} \sum_{j=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial^2 \phi_h^T(a_i)}{\partial x_j^2} \right|^2 \right). \quad (3.8)$$

Next we complete the proof by respectively estimating the terms $|\phi_h(a_i)|$, $|\partial \phi_h(a_i)/\partial x_j|$ and $|\partial^2 \phi_h(a_i)/\partial x_j^2|$ in (3.8). If $a_i \in T$ is an interior node of Ω , by definition we have

$$\phi_h^T(a_i) = \frac{1}{N_h(a_i)} \sum_{T' \in \mathcal{T}_h(a_i)} (w_h^T(a_i) - w_h^{T'}(a_i)).$$

For any other element T' in the patch $\mathcal{T}_h(a_i)$, there exists an integer $J > 0$ and $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_J \in \mathcal{T}_h(a_i)$ such that $T_1 = T, T_J = T'$ and $\tilde{F}_j = T_j \cap T_{j+1}$ is a common $(n-1)$ -dimensional surface of T_j and T_{j+1} , with $a_i \in \tilde{F}_j$, $1 \leq j \leq J$. A simple computation with the inverse estimate gives

$$\begin{aligned} |w_h^T(a_i) - w_h^{T'}(a_i)|^2 &= \left| \sum_{j=1}^{J-1} (w_h^{T_j}(a_i) - w_h^{T_{j+1}}(a_i)) \right|^2 \\ &\lesssim h^{1-n} \sum_{j=1}^{J-1} \|w_h^{T_j} - w_h^{T_{j+1}}\|_{0,\tilde{F}_j}^2 \\ &\lesssim h^{1-n} \sum_{j=1}^{J-1} \left(\|v - w_h^{T_j}\|_{0,\tilde{F}_j}^2 + \|v - w_h^{T_{j+1}}\|_{0,\tilde{F}_j}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Taking $m = 0, 1$ in (3.4) and using the local trace theorem, we obtain that

$$\|v - w_h^{T_j}\|_{0,\tilde{F}_j}^2 \lesssim h^{-1} \|v - w_h^{T_j}\|_{0,T_j}^2 + h |v - w_h^{T_j}|_{1,T_j}^2 \lesssim h^{5+2s} |v|_{3+s,T_j}^2.$$

Since the values J and $N_h(a_i)$ are uniformly bounded for any interior vertex a_i in Ω , then it is concluded that

$$|\phi_h(a_i)|^2 \lesssim h^{6-n+2s} \sum_{T' \in \mathcal{T}_h(a_i)} |v|_{3+s,T'}^2. \quad (3.9)$$

If the vertex a_i of T is on the boundary $\partial\Omega$, then there exist $T' \in \mathcal{T}_h(a_i)$ with an $(n-1)$ -dimensional face $F \subset \partial\Omega$, such that $a_i \in F$. Therefore, we estimate ϕ_h by

$$|\phi_h(a_i)| \leq |w_h^T(a_i) - w_h^{T'}(a_i)| + |w_h^{T'}(a_i)|.$$

The first term above in the right hand side can be handled with previous technique, and the inverse estimate gives the bound for the second term

$$|w_h^{T'}(a_i)|^2 \lesssim h^{1-n} \|w_h^{T'}\|_{0,F}^2 \approx h^{1-n} \|v - w_h^{T'}\|_{0,F}^2 \lesssim h^{6-n+2s} |v|_{3+s,T'}^2.$$

Therefore, (3.9) also holds for vertices $a_i \in \partial\Omega$. It is noticed that the same analysis can be applied on $|\partial\phi_h(a_i)/\partial x_j|$ and $|\partial^2\phi_h(a_i)/\partial x_j^2|$ so that we have the following estimates:

$$\left| \frac{\partial\phi_h(a_i)}{\partial x_j} \right|^2 \lesssim h^{4-n+2s} \sum_{T' \in \mathcal{T}_h(a_i)} |v|_{3+s,T'}^2, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (3.10)$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial^2\phi_h(a_i)}{\partial x_j^2} \right|^2 \lesssim h^{2-n+2s} \sum_{T' \in \mathcal{T}_h(a_i)} |v|_{3+s,T'}^2, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (3.11)$$

Combining (3.8) with (3.9)-(3.11), and summing over $T \in \mathcal{T}_h$, for $0 \leq m \leq 3$ we have

$$h^{2m} |\phi_h|_{m,h}^2 \lesssim h^{6+2s} |v|_{3+s,\Omega}^2. \quad (3.12)$$

The result (3.3) follows from (3.12), (3.4), and the triangle inequality. $\square$

3.2. Conforming relatives

Introduced by Brenner in [4], the conforming relative of a nonconforming finite element is verified to be capable of reducing the regularity requirements in the convergence analysis (e.g. [25]). Let us now consider a family of H^3 conforming elements on n dimensional rectangle meshes. For any integer $k \geq 0$, define the set of degrees of freedom of an H^{k+1} n -rectangle finite element as follows:

$$\mathcal{N}_T^k(v) = \left\{ \frac{\partial^{\alpha} v}{\partial x^{\alpha}}(a_i) : 0 \leq \alpha_j \leq k, j = 1, 2, \dots, n, i = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n \right\}, \quad (3.13)$$

where $a_i, 1 \leq i \leq 2^n$ are vertices of the n -rectangle T . The corresponding shape function space of $\mathcal{N}_T^k$ on $T \in \mathcal{T}_h$ is therefore $Q_{2k+1}(T)$. Next we let V_h^k, V_{h0}^k be the global finite element space on the domain Ω . By regarding $\mathcal{N}_T^k$ as a tensor product of n set of degree of freedoms of $(2k+1)$ -th order Hermitian interpolation in one dimension, it can be shown that $V_h^k \subset H^{k+1}(\Omega)$ through mathematical induction on the dimensionality n .

In the following we still borrow the notations of the projection-averaging strategy described in Theorem 3.2 to construct the interpolation operators of functions with less smoothness. Based on the existence of the conforming relative with arbitrary regularities, we have following conclusion.

Lemma 3.1 (Approximation Property of H^3 Conforming Relative). *There exists an H^3 -conforming finite n -rectangle element space $V_h^c \subset H_0^3(\Omega)$ and an interpolation operator $\Pi_h^c : V_{h0} \rightarrow V_h^c$ such that*

$$\sum_{m=0}^3 h^{m-3} |v_h - \Pi_h^c v_h|_{m,h} \lesssim |v_h|_{3,h}, \quad \forall v_h \in V_h. \quad (3.14)$$

Proof. Note that for any $v_h \in V_{h0}$, it holds that $v_h|_T \in Q_5(T)$. Taking $k = 2$ in (3.13) and $V_h^c = V_{h0}^2$, the interpolation operator Π_h^c is then defined as follows. For a_i being an interior vertex node of $\mathcal{T}_h$ and $d_T \in \mathcal{N}_T^2$ being any one of the degree of freedoms, let

$$d_T(\Pi_h^c v_h)(a_i) = \frac{1}{N_h(a_i)} \sum_{T' \in \mathcal{T}_h(a_i)} d_{T'}(v_h^{T'})(a_i). \quad (3.15)$$

Here, $d_{T'}$ should be of the same type as d_T and T' shares the same vertex node a_i with T . For $a_i \in \partial\Omega$ being a boundary vertex, we then define $d_T(\Pi_h^c v_h)(a_i) = 0$. The rest of the estimation is highly similar to the proof of Theorem 3.2 and we omit here for brevity. $\square$

Lemma 3.2 (Approximation Property of H^4 Conforming Relative). *Let $s \in [0, 1]$ and $u \in H^{3+s}(\Omega) \cap H_0^3(\Omega)$, there exists an n -rectangle finite element space $\tilde{V}_h \subset H^4(\Omega) \cap H_0^3(\Omega)$ and an interpolation operator $\tilde{\Pi}_h : H^{3+s}(\Omega) \cap H_0^3(\Omega) \rightarrow \tilde{V}_h$ such that*

$$\sum_{m=0}^3 h^{m-3-s} |u - \tilde{\Pi}_h u|_{m,h} + |\tilde{\Pi}_h u|_{3+s,\Omega} \lesssim |u|_{3+s,\Omega}. \quad (3.16)$$

Proof. Firstly we consider taking $k = 3$ in (3.13) to obtain a finite element space $V_h^3 \subset H^4(\Omega)$ and the set of DoFs $\mathcal{N}_T^3$. In order to maintain the boundary conditions of $H_0^3(\Omega)$, some necessary corrections should be made such that $\tilde{V}_h \subset V_h^3 \cap H_0^3(\Omega)$. For $u \in H^{3+s}(\Omega) \cap H_0^3(\Omega)$, define $w_h \in L^2(\Omega)$ such that

$$w_h|_T := w_h^T \in Q_7(T), \quad \int_T w_h q \, dx = \int_T u q \, dx, \quad \forall q \in Q_7(T), \quad T \in \mathcal{T}_h. \quad (3.17)$$

Then the interpolation $\tilde{\Pi}_h u$ is given by using $\mathcal{N}_T^3$ and evaluated as

$$d_T(\tilde{\Pi}_h u)(a_i) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } d_T(v)(a_i) = 0, \quad \forall v \in H_0^3(\Omega) \cap C^\infty(\Omega), \\ \frac{1}{N_h(a_i)} \sum_{T' \in \mathcal{T}_h(a_i)} d_{T'}(w_h^{T'})(a_i), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (3.18)$$

We note here the first condition of (3.18) only guarantees part of the DoFs to be zero on boundary vertices. Furthermore, it ensures that all DoFs with normal derivatives less than or equal to two, along with at least one boundary face containing the vertex a_i , will vanish. This, in turn, implies that $\tilde{\Pi}_h u$ belongs to the space $H_0^3(\Omega)$.

Again, we refer to the proof of Theorem 3.2 for the rest of the estimation, following which we also have

$$\begin{aligned} |u - \tilde{\Pi}_h u|_{3,\Omega} &\lesssim |u|_{3,\Omega}, \quad u \in H_0^3(\Omega), \\ |u - \tilde{\Pi}_h u|_{4,\Omega} &\lesssim |u|_{4,\Omega}, \quad u \in H^4(\Omega) \cap H_0^3(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

This gives the stability result

$$|u - \tilde{\Pi}_h u|_{3+s,\Omega} \lesssim |u|_{3+s,\Omega}$$

for any $s \in [0, 1]$ by applying the interpolation theory of the Sobolev spaces. $\square$

4. Estimate of Tangential-Normal Terms by n -Rectangle Interpolation

From the convergence framework of nonconforming methods [20], the weak continuities are crucial in the analysis. In terms of the H^3 problems, one needs to take care of all the second-order derivatives, which consist of the tangential-tangential, normal-normal, and tangential-normal components. For the Morley-type element, the tangential-tangential and normal-normal continuities are weak, see Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, respectively. Thanks to the C^0 -continuity of Adini-type finite element space and Lemma 2.4, the tangential-tangential and normal-normal components are strongly continuous.

The rest of the second-order terms, i.e. the tangential-normal terms, can not be tackled via the DoFs. As a special property of the n -rectangle element, the interpolation is a crucial tool in the convergence analysis.

4.1. Some properties by local interpolation

We establish several properties regarding the interaction between local interpolation and partial derivatives. Let us denote the $(n-2)$ -dimensional sub-rectangles of T as

$$\ell_{T,i,j}^{\pm,\pm} = \{x \in \bar{T} \mid \xi_i = \pm 1, \xi_j = \pm 1\}, \quad j \neq i. \quad (4.1)$$

Lemma 4.1 (Properties of Morley-Type Element by Local Interpolation). *Let $v \in \mathcal{P}_M(T)$. For $j \neq i$, it holds that*

$$\int_{F_j^\pm} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial(\Pi_T^1 v)}{\partial x_i} - \Pi_T^0 \frac{\partial(\Pi_T^1 v)}{\partial x_i} \right) dS = 0, \quad (4.2)$$

where Π_T^0 and Π_T^1 are the local interpolations of Q_1 and Adini elements, respectively (see (2.3)).

Proof. We have $\Pi_T^1 v \in Q_1(T) \cdot \text{span}\{1, x_k^2 \mid 1 \leq k \leq n\}$

$$\frac{\partial(\Pi_T^1 v)}{\partial x_i} \in Q_1(T) + Q_1^i(T) \cdot \text{span}\{\xi_k^2 - 1 \mid 1 \leq k \leq n\} =: Q_1(T) + \tilde{G}_i(T).$$

Next, we observe that both $\partial(\Pi_T^1 v)/\partial x_i - \Pi_T^0 \partial(\Pi_T^1 v)/\partial x_i$ and $\tilde{G}_i(T)$ vanish at the vertices of T , whence

$$\frac{\partial(\Pi_T^1 v)}{\partial x_i} - \Pi_T^0 \frac{\partial(\Pi_T^1 v)}{\partial x_i} \in \tilde{G}_i(T).$$

As a result, by denoting $G_i(T) := Q_1^i(T) \cdot \text{span}\{\xi_i^2 - 1\}$, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial(\Pi_T^1 v)}{\partial x_i} - \Pi_T^0 \frac{\partial(\Pi_T^1 v)}{\partial x_i} \right) \in \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \tilde{G}_i(T) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} G_i(T).$$

Notice that $G_i(T)$ vanishes on $(n-2)$ -dimensional sub-rectangles $\ell_{T,i,j}^{\pm,\pm}$ due to the factor $(\xi_i^2 - 1)$. Then, the desired result (4.2) can be obtained by integrating along the x_i direction. $\square$

Lemma 4.2 (Properties of Adini-Type Element by Local Interpolation). *Let $v \in \mathcal{P}_A(T)$. For $j \neq i$, it holds that*

$$\int_{F_j^\pm} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} - \Pi_T^{e_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \right) dS = 0, \quad (4.3)$$

where $\Pi_T^{e_i}$ are the local interpolation of the partial Adini element (see (2.3)).

Proof. For any $v \in \mathcal{P}_A(T) = Q_1(T) \cdot \text{span}\{1, x_k^2, x_k^4 \mid 1 \leq k \leq n\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} &\in Q_1^i(T) \cdot \text{span}\{1, x_k^2, x_k^4 \mid 1 \leq k \leq n\} + Q_1(T) \cdot \text{span}\{x_i, x_i^3\} \\ &= Q_1(T) \cdot \text{span}\{1, \xi_i^2\} + Q_1^i(T) \cdot \text{span}\{(\xi_k^2 - 1), (\xi_t^2 - 1)^2 \mid k \neq i, 1 \leq t \leq n\} \\ &:= Q_1(T) \cdot \text{span}\{1, \xi_i^2\} + W_i(T). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we see that for any $w \in W_i(T)$, w and $\partial w / \partial x_i$ vanish at the vertices of T , which exactly correspond to the DoFs of n -rectangle partial Adini element. Therefore,

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} - \Pi_T^{e_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \in W_i(T).$$

Now, let $\alpha_k, \beta_t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $q_k, r_t \in Q_1^i(T)$ such that

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} - \Pi_T^{e_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} = \sum_{k \neq i} \alpha_k q_k (\xi_k^2 - 1) + \sum_{t=1}^n \beta_t r_t (\xi_t^2 - 1)^2.$$

Then, we obtain

$$\int_{F_j^\pm} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} - \Pi_T^{e_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \right) dS = \int_{F_j^\pm} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\beta_i r_i (\xi_i^2 - 1)^2) dS = 0.$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

4.2. Estimate of tangential-normal terms: Exchange of sub-rectangles

We use a new technique called exchange of sub-rectangles to estimate the tangential-normal terms.

Lemma 4.3 (Estimate of Tangential-Norm Terms). *Let $\phi \in H^1(\Omega)$ be a piecewise polynomial defined on $\mathcal{T}_h$, V_{h0} be the finite element space of the n -rectangle Morley-type element or the n -rectangle Adini-type element. For $j \neq i$, it holds that*

$$\left| \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_{\partial T} \phi \frac{\partial^2 v_h}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \nu_i dS \right| \leq Ch |\phi|_{1,\Omega} |v_h|_{3,h}. \quad (4.4)$$

Proof. For the sake of simplicity of the exposition, we first show (4.4) for the Adini-type element, then sketch the proof for the Morly-type element.

Part I: Proof for Adini-type element. It is readily seen that $\nu_i|_{F_{T,i}^\pm} = \pm 1$ and vanishes on other $(n-1)$ -dimensional faces of T . Then, using integration by parts on $F_{T,i}^\pm$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_{\partial T} \phi \frac{\partial^2 v_h}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \nu_i dS \\ &= \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_{F_{T,i}^+ + F_{T,i}^-} \phi \frac{\partial^2 v_h}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \nu_i dS \\ &= \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_{F_{T,i}^+ - F_{T,i}^-} \phi \frac{\partial^2 v_h}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} dS \\ &= \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_{F_{T,i}^+ - F_{T,i}^-} \phi \frac{\partial v_h}{\partial x_i} \nu_j d\ell - \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_{F_{T,i}^+ - F_{T,i}^-} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial v_h}{\partial x_i} dS \\ &:= I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Here, with a little bit abuse of notation, ν_j represents the j -th component of the unit outer vector which is normal to $\partial F_{T,i}^\pm$ and parallel to $F_{T,i}$.

Analysis of I_2 . Recall that Π_h^c is the interpolation operator of the conforming relative defined in Lemma 3.1. Notice that the inverse inequality can be applied on ϕ and that $\partial\phi/\partial x_j$ is actually continuous across the surfaces $F_{T,i}^\pm$ due to the C^0 -continuity of ϕ . Therefore, using the trace theorem, the estimate of Π_h^c and the interpolation error (3.14) gives the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2| &= \left| \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_{F_{T,i}^+ - F_{T,i}^-} \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (v_h - \Pi_h^c v_h) \, dS \right| \\ &\lesssim \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} |\phi|_{1,\partial T} \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (v_h - \Pi_h^c v_h) \right\|_{0,\partial T} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} h_T |\phi|_{1,T} |v_h|_{3,T} \lesssim h |\phi|_{1,\Omega} |v_h|_{3,h}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Analysis of I_1 . Note that $\Pi_h^{e_i} \partial v_h / \partial x_i \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. Hence, the following identity holds:

$$I_1 = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_{\partial F_{T,i}^+ - \partial F_{T,i}^-} \phi \left(\frac{\partial v_h}{\partial x_i} - \Pi_h^{e_i} \frac{\partial v_h}{\partial x_i} \right) \nu_j \, d\ell.$$

Rearranging the integrals over the edges and using the integration by parts, we find

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \left(\int_{\ell_{T,i,j}^{+,+} - \ell_{T,i,j}^{+,-}} \phi \left(\frac{\partial v_h}{\partial x_i} - \Pi_h^{e_i} \frac{\partial v_h}{\partial x_i} \right) \, d\ell - \int_{\ell_{T,i,j}^{-,+} - \ell_{T,i,j}^{-,-}} \phi \left(\frac{\partial v_h}{\partial x_i} - \Pi_h^{e_i} \frac{\partial v_h}{\partial x_i} \right) \, d\ell \right) \\ &= \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \left(\int_{\ell_{T,i,j}^{+,+} - \ell_{T,i,j}^{+,-}} \phi \left(\frac{\partial v_h}{\partial x_i} - \Pi_h^{e_i} \frac{\partial v_h}{\partial x_i} \right) \, d\ell - \int_{\ell_{T,i,j}^{+,-} - \ell_{T,i,j}^{-,-}} \phi \left(\frac{\partial v_h}{\partial x_i} - \Pi_h^{e_i} \frac{\partial v_h}{\partial x_i} \right) \, d\ell \right) \\ &= \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_{\partial F_{T,j}^+ - \partial F_{T,j}^-} \phi \left(\frac{\partial v_h}{\partial x_i} - \Pi_h^{e_i} \frac{\partial v_h}{\partial x_i} \right) \nu_i \, d\ell \\ &= \underbrace{\sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_{F_{T,j}^+ - F_{T,j}^-} \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial v_h}{\partial x_i} - \Pi_h^{e_i} \frac{\partial v_h}{\partial x_i} \right) \, dS}_{I_{11}} + \underbrace{\sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_{F_{T,j}^+ - F_{T,j}^-} \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial v_h}{\partial x_i} - \Pi_h^{e_i} \frac{\partial v_h}{\partial x_i} \right) \, dS}_{I_{12}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, the second equality applies a new trick called exchange of sub-rectangles (see Fig. 4.1).




Fig. 4.1. Exchange of sub-rectangles.

Again, the C^0 -continuity of $\partial\phi/\partial x_i$ across the faces $F_{T,j}^\pm$ provides

$$|I_{11}| = \left| \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_{F_{T,j}^+ - F_{T,j}^-} \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (v_h - \Pi_h^c v_h) \, dS \right| \lesssim h |\phi|_{1,\Omega} |v_h|_{3,h}. \quad (4.7)$$

Now let $P_F^0 : L^2(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_0(F)$ be the orthogonal projection. Thanks to Lemma 4.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{12}| &= \left| \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_{F_{T,j}^+ - F_{T,j}^-} \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial v_h}{\partial x_i} - \Pi_h^{e_i} \frac{\partial v_h}{\partial x_i} \right) \, dS \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_{F_{T,j}^+ - F_{T,j}^-} (\phi - P_F^0 \phi) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial v_h}{\partial x_i} - \Pi_h^{e_i} \frac{\partial v_h}{\partial x_i} \right) \, dS \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_{F_{T,j}^+ - F_{T,j}^-} (\phi - P_F^0 \phi) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} (v_h - \Pi_h^c v_h) \, dS \right| \lesssim h |\phi|_{1,\Omega} |v_h|_{3,h}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

Combining (4.6)-(4.8), we finish the proof for the Adini-type element.

Part II: Sketch of the proof for Morley-type element. We recall the special property of Morley-type element (2.6), and consider the fact that the basis functions $r_k^\pm$ defined in (2.5) depend only on the single variable x_k . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_{\partial T} \phi \frac{\partial^2 v_h}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \nu_i \, dS \\ &= \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_{\partial T} \phi \frac{\partial^2 (\Pi_h^1 v_h)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \nu_i \, dS \\ &= \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_{\partial F_{T,i}^+ - \partial F_{T,i}^-} \phi \frac{\partial (\Pi_h^1 v_h)}{\partial x_i} \nu_j \, d\ell - \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_{F_{T,i}^+ - F_{T,i}^-} \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial (\Pi_h^1 v_h)}{\partial x_i} \, dS \\ &:= \tilde{I}_1 + \tilde{I}_2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

The estimate of $\tilde{I}_2$ is then similar to (4.6), by noticing that Π_T^1 (local projection of Adini-type element) preserves $\mathcal{P}_3(T)$, namely,

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{I}_2| &\leq \left| \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_{F_{T,i}^+ - F_{T,i}^-} \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (v_h - \Pi_h^c v_h) \, dS \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_{F_{T,i}^+ - F_{T,i}^-} \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (v_h - \Pi_h^1 v_h) \, dS \right| \\ &\lesssim h |\phi|_{1,\Omega} |v_h|_{3,h}. \end{aligned}$$

For $\tilde{I}_1$, we insert a global C^0 Q_1 -projection of $\partial(\Pi_h^1 v_h)/\partial x_i$ to obtain that

$$\tilde{I}_1 = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_{\partial F_{T,i}^+ - \partial F_{T,i}^-} \phi \left(\frac{\partial (\Pi_h^1 v_h)}{\partial x_i} - \Pi_h^0 \frac{\partial (\Pi_h^1 v_h)}{\partial x_i} \right) \nu_j \, d\ell.$$

Then the estimate follows from the similar trick (exchange of sub-rectangles) by involving Lemma 4.1 (local projection of Morley-type element). $\square$

5. Convergence Analysis and Error Estimate

In this section, we will give the convergence analysis of the elements and the error estimate for solving the sixth-order partial differential equations. Given $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, we consider the following triharmonic equation:

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^3 u = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \nu^2} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

where Δ is the standard Laplacian operator. Define the bilinear form

$$a(w, v) = \int_{\Omega} \nabla^3 w : \nabla^3 v \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial x_i \partial x_j \partial x_k} \frac{\partial^3 v}{\partial x_i \partial x_j \partial x_k} \, dx, \quad \forall w, v \in H^3(\Omega). \quad (5.2)$$

Then, the weak form for the Eq. (5.1) is to find $u \in H_0^3(\Omega)$ such that

$$a(u, v) = (f, v), \quad \forall v \in H_0^3(\Omega). \quad (5.3)$$

Since the finite element spaces V_h are H^3 -nonconforming, we define a discrete bilinear form for any $w, v \in L^2(\Omega)$ with $w|_T, v|_T \in H^3(T)$

$$a_h(w, v) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_T \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial x_i \partial x_j \partial x_k} \frac{\partial^3 v}{\partial x_i \partial x_j \partial x_k} \, dx, \quad \forall T \in \mathcal{T}_h. \quad (5.4)$$

Corresponding to the n -rectangle Morley-type element or the n -rectangle Adini-type element, the finite element method for (5.1) is to find $u_h \in V_{h0}$ such that

$$a_h(u_h, v_h) = (f, v_h), \quad \forall v_h \in V_{h0}. \quad (5.5)$$

We are in the position to estimate the consistency error.

Theorem 5.1 (Consistency Error). *Let V_{h0} be the finite element space of the n -rectangle Morley-type element or the n -rectangle Adini-type element. If $u \in H^{3+s}(\Omega) \cap H_0^3(\Omega)$ for $s \in [0, 1]$ and $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, then we have*

$$|a_h(u, v_h) - (f, v_h)| \lesssim (h^s |u|_{3+s, \Omega} + h^3 \|f\|_{0, \Omega}) |v_h|_{3, h}, \quad \forall v_h \in V_{h0}. \quad (5.6)$$

Proof. Following the notation in Lemma 3.2, we take $w_h := \tilde{\Pi}_h u \in \tilde{V}_h$ as the conforming approximation of u . Then, the consistency error can be written as

$$a_h(u, v_h) - (f, v_h) = a_h(u - w_h, v_h - \Pi_h^c v_h) + a_h(w_h, v_h - \Pi_h^c v_h) - (f, v_h - \Pi_h^c v_h).$$

Thanks to Lemma 3.1, the first and the third term can be estimated by

$$|a_h(u - w_h, v_h - \Pi_h^c v_h)| \lesssim |u - w_h|_{3, h} |v_h - \Pi_h^c v_h|_{3, h} \lesssim |u - w_h|_{3, h} |v_h|_{3, h}, \quad (5.7)$$

$$|(f, v_h - \Pi_h^c v_h)| \lesssim \|f\|_{0, \Omega} \|v_h - \Pi_h^c v_h\|_{0, \Omega} \lesssim h^3 \|f\|_{0, \Omega} |v_h|_{3, h}. \quad (5.8)$$

For the middle term of the consistency error, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & a_h(w_h, v_h - \Pi_h^c v_h) \\ &= \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_T \nabla^3 w_h : \nabla^3 (v_h - \Pi_h^c v_h) \, dx \\ &= \underbrace{\sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_{\partial T} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} (\nabla^2 w_h) : \nabla^2 (v_h - \Pi_h^c v_h) \, dS}_{:= E_1} - \underbrace{\sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_T \nabla^2 (\Delta w_h) : \nabla^2 (v_h - \Pi_h^c v_h) \, dx}_{:= E_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the C^3 -continuity of w_h in Lemma 3.2 and C^2 -continuity of $\Pi_h^c v_h$ in Lemma 3.1, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
E_1 &= \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_{\partial T} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} (\nabla^2 w_h) : \nabla^2 v_h \, dS \\
&= \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_{\partial T} \frac{\partial^3 w_h}{\partial \nu^3} \frac{\partial^2 v_h}{\partial \nu^2} \, dS + 2 \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \int_{\partial T} \frac{\partial^3 w_h}{\partial \nu^2 \partial \tau_j} \frac{\partial^2 v_h}{\partial \nu \partial \tau_j} \, dS \\
&\quad + \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_{\partial T} \frac{\partial^3 w_h}{\partial \nu \partial \tau_j \partial \tau_k} \frac{\partial^2 v_h}{\partial \tau_j \partial \tau_k} \, dS \\
&:= E_{1,\nu\nu} + E_{1,\tau\nu} + E_{1,\tau\tau},
\end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

where $\{\tau_j\}_{j=1}^{n-1}$ is the set of unit orthogonal vectors along ∂T .

Estimate of E_1 . For the Morley-type element, Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 imply that, by a standard scaling argument,

$$|E_{1,\nu\nu}| + |E_{1,\tau\tau}| \lesssim h |w_h|_{4,\Omega} |v_h|_{3,h}.$$

For the Adini-type element, the C^0 -continuity of V_h and Lemma 2.4 imply that $E_{1,\nu\nu} = E_{1,\tau\tau} = 0$.

For the tangential-normal term, on each $(n-1)$ -dimensional face of $T \in \mathcal{T}_h$, we notice that $\nu_i \nu_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$. It follows that $\partial v_h / \partial x_j$ is the tangent derivative along the faces on which ν_i is not zero. Therefore,

$$E_{1,\tau\nu} = 2 \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \int_{\partial T} \frac{\partial^3 w_h}{\partial \nu^2 \partial \tau_j} \frac{\partial^2 v_h}{\partial \nu \partial \tau_j} \, dS = 2 \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n \int_{\partial T} \frac{\partial^3 w_h}{\partial x_i^2 \partial x_j} \frac{\partial^2 v_h}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \nu_i \, dS.$$

Then, we apply Lemma 4.3 to conclude that

$$|E_{1,\tau\nu}| \lesssim h |w_h|_{4,\Omega} |v_h|_{3,h}.$$

By using interpolation of spaces and Lemma 3.2, we have

$$|E_1| \lesssim h^s |w_h|_{3+s,\Omega} |v_h|_{3,h} \lesssim h^s |u|_{3+s,\Omega} |v_h|_{3,h}. \tag{5.10}$$

Estimate of E_2 . Using the orthogonal projection $P_T^0 : L^2(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_0(T)$, we have

$$E_2 = - \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_T \nabla (\nabla \Delta w_h - P_T^0 \nabla \Delta u) : \nabla^2 (v_h - \Pi_h^c v_h) \, dx.$$

Therefore, the inverse inequality and the standard approximation property of P_T^0 imply

$$\begin{aligned}
|E_2| &\lesssim \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} h_T^{-1} \|\nabla \Delta w_h - P_T^0 \nabla \Delta u\|_{0,T} |v_h - \Pi_h^c v_h|_{2,T} \\
&\lesssim |u - w_h|_{3,h} |v_h|_{3,h} + \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \|\nabla \Delta u - P_T^0 \nabla \Delta u\|_{0,T} |v_h|_{3,T} \\
&\lesssim (|u - w_h|_{3,h} + h^s |u|_{3+s,\Omega}) |v_h|_{3,h}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

Combining (5.7), (5.8), (5.10), (5.11) with the approximation property (3.16), we prove the desired estimate. $\square$

Based on the well-known Strang's Lemma

$$|u - u_h|_{3,h} \lesssim \inf_{v_h \in V_{h0}} |u - v_h|_{3,h} + \sup_{0 \neq v_h \in V_{h0}} \frac{|a_h(u, v_h) - (f, v_h)|}{|v_h|_{3,h}},$$

and the interpolation theory, we finally arrive at the following convergence result.

Theorem 5.2. *Let V_{h0} be the finite element space of the n -rectangle Morley-type element or the n -rectangle Adini-type element. If $u \in H^{3+s}(\Omega) \cap H_0^3(\Omega)$ for $s \in [0, 1]$ solves (5.1) with $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, then*

$$\|u - u_h\|_{3,h} \lesssim h^s |u|_{3+s,\Omega} + h^3 \|f\|_{0,\Omega}. \quad (5.12)$$

6. Numerical Experiments

In this section, we present several numerical results in both 2D and 3D to support the theoretical results.

Example 6.1 (2D Smooth Solution). In the first example, we test the Adini-type H^3 -nonconforming finite element by solving the following two-dimensional triharmonic equation:

$$(-\Delta)^3 u = f, \quad x \in \Omega,$$

where $\Omega = (0, 1)^2$. We choose the source term and boundary conditions so that the exact solution is given by $u(x, y) = \cos(2\pi x) \cos(2\pi y)$. We compute the numerical solution and calculate its convergence order in the sense of H^k broken norm, where $k = 1, 2, 3$. The Table 6.1 shows the numerical results obtained on uniform n -rectangle meshes with various mesh-sizes h . We see that the numerical solution approximates to the exact solution with a linear convergence in the H^3 semi-norm, which corresponds with our theoretical prediction. Moreover, the table also indicates that both $|u - u_h|_{1,h}$ and $|u - u_h|_{2,h}$ is of the second-order.

Table 6.1: Numerical errors and observed convergence orders of Adini-type element for Example 6.1.

N	$\ u - u_h\ _0$	Order	$ u - u_h _{1,h}$	Order	$ u - u_h _{2,h}$	Order	$ u - u_h _{3,h}$	Order
4	1.142e-01	-	7.092e-01	-	8.272e+00	-	1.436e+02	-
8	3.140e-02	1.86	1.822e-01	1.96	2.115e+00	1.97	6.971e+01	1.04
16	7.997e-03	1.97	4.566e-02	2.00	5.320e-01	1.99	3.455e+01	1.01
32	2.008e-03	1.99	1.142e-02	2.00	1.332e-01	2.00	1.723e+01	1.00
64	5.027e-04	2.00	2.855e-03	2.00	3.331e-02	2.00	8.612e+00	1.00

Example 6.2 (2D Singular Solution). In this example, we solve the triharmonic equation on a two-dimensional L-shaped domain $\Omega = (-1, 1)^2 \setminus [0, 1) \times (-1, 0]$, in which the solution has partial regularity. The exact solution is given in the polar coordinates (r, θ) as

$$u(r, \theta) = r^{2.5} \sin(2.5\theta).$$

Due to the singularity at the origin, we have $u \in H^{3+1/2-\epsilon}(\Omega)$ for any $\epsilon > 0$. Our method converges with the optimal rate $1/2$ in the H^3 broken norm, which is shown in the Table 6.2.

Table 6.2: Numerical errors on the L-shaped domain and observed convergence orders of Adini-type element for Example 6.2.

N	$\ u - u_h\ _0$	Order	$ u - u_h _{1,h}$	Order	$ u - u_h _{2,h}$	Order	$ u - u_h _{3,h}$	Order
2	4.031e-03	-	2.223e-02	-	2.049e-01	-	2.353e+00	-
4	1.589e-03	1.34	8.677e-03	1.36	8.988e-02	1.19	1.630e+00	0.53
8	7.368e-04	1.11	4.002e-03	1.12	3.980e-02	1.18	1.140e+00	0.52
16	3.442e-04	1.10	1.860e-03	1.11	1.776e-02	1.16	8.030e-01	0.51
32	1.603e-04	1.10	8.571e-04	1.12	7.969e-03	1.16	5.670e-01	0.50
64	7.474e-05	1.10	3.940e-04	1.12	3.594e-03	1.15	4.007e-01	0.50

Example 6.3 (3D Smooth Solution). For the last example, let us consider solving the triharmonic equation on a three-dimensional domain $\Omega = (0, 1)^3$. We choose the right hand side function and appropriate boundary conditions so that the exact solution of (5.1) is

$$u(x, y, z) = \sin(2\pi x) \cos(\pi y) \cos(\pi z).$$

We solve the equation using both Adini-type and Morley-type nonconforming element and the results are shown in Tables 6.3 and 6.4, respectively. It is observed that both the finite element methods have a first-order convergence to the exact solution in H^3 -norm. The convergence rates in other norms do not appear to be steady on these coarse meshes, and we believe they will eventually be steady as finer meshes are employed.

Table 6.3: Numerical errors and observed convergence orders of Adini-type element for Example 6.3.

N	$\ u - u_h\ _0$	Order	$ u - u_h _{1,h}$	Order	$ u - u_h _{2,h}$	Order	$ u - u_h _{3,h}$	Order
2	8.721e-02	-	9.877e-01	-	1.008e+01	-	9.809e+01	-
4	6.866e-03	3.67	1.275e-01	2.95	2.302e+00	2.13	3.741e+01	1.39
8	4.389e-04	3.97	1.702e-02	2.90	5.926e-01	1.96	1.781e+01	1.07
16	5.028e-05	3.13	2.237e-03	2.93	1.494e-01	1.99	8.785e+00	1.02
32	1.352e-05	1.89	3.181e-04	2.81	3.742e-02	2.00	4.377e+00	1.01

Table 6.4: Numerical errors and observed convergence orders of Morley-type element for Example 6.3.

N	$\ u - u_h\ _0$	Order	$ u - u_h _{1,h}$	Order	$ u - u_h _{2,h}$	Order	$ u - u_h _{3,h}$	Order
2	1.210e-01	-	1.216e+00	-	1.120e+01	-	1.153e+02	-
4	9.100e-03	3.73	1.439e-01	3.08	2.473e+00	2.18	4.254e+01	1.44
8	1.100e-03	3.05	1.990e-02	2.85	6.352e-01	1.96	1.888e+01	1.17
16	1.741e-04	2.66	2.900e-03	2.78	1.583e-01	2.00	8.949e+00	1.08
32	3.678e-05	2.24	5.192e-04	2.48	3.950e-02	2.00	4.401e+00	1.02

7. Concluding Remarks

We propose two new families of nonconforming finite element for solving the sixth-order equations. We begin by proving some basic properties of such finite elements and discussing their approximation abilities in any dimensionality $n \geq 2$. After showing the approximation property and the stability of the interpolation operator, we provide some key lemmas to obtain the main convergence theory for solving the sixth-order equations. By using the technique of conforming relatives, we discover that the numerical solutions of these non-conforming finite elements have an h^s convergence order where $s \in [0, 1]$, provided that the exact solution has H^{3+s} regularity. We then give two examples to examine our theories for the cases $n = 2$ and $n = 3$ respectively, and another one example to show the robustness of our method when solving the triharmonic equation with a singular solution.

Although the new technique (i.e. exchange of sub-rectangles) presented in this paper focuses on the sixth-order equations, we believe it has the potential to be extended to higher-order

equations. Since the proposed analysis does not rely on the local quasi-uniformity of the n -rectangle grids, we believe that our analysis is also applicable to anisotropic problems. These will also be the future work.

Acknowledgements. The second author is supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12222101).

References

- [1] A. Adini and R.W. Clough, *Analysis of Plate Bending by the Finite Element Method*, NSF Report G. 7337, 1961.
- [2] F.K. Bogner, R.L. Fox, and L.A. Schmit, The generation of interelement compatible stiffness and mass matrices by the use of interpolation formulas, in: *Proceedings of the Conference on Matrix Methods in Structural Mechanics*, (1965), 397–444,.
- [3] J.H. Bramble and M. Zlámal, Triangular elements in the finite element method, *Math. Comp.*, **24**:112 (1970), 809–820.
- [4] S.C. Brenner, A two-level additive Schwarz preconditioner for nonconforming plate elements, *Numer. Math.*, **72**:4 (1996), 419–447.
- [5] S.C. Brenner and L.R. Scott, *The Mathematical Theory of Finite Element Methods*, in: *Texts in Applied Mathematics*, Springer, 2008.
- [6] G. Caginalp and P. Fife, Higher-order phase field models and detailed anisotropy, *Phys. Rev. B*, **34**:7 (1986), 4940–4943.
- [7] H. Chen, S. Chen, and Z. Qiao, C^0 -nonconforming tetrahedral and cuboid elements for the three-dimensional fourth order elliptic problem, *Numer. Math.*, **124**:1 (2013), 99–119.
- [8] L. Chen and X. Huang, Nonconforming virtual element method for $2m$ -th order partial differential equations in $\mathbb{R}^n$, *Math. Comp.*, **89**:324 (2020), 1711–1744.
- [9] P.G. Ciarlet, *The Finite Element Method for Elliptic Problems*, in: *Classics in Applied Mathematics*, Vol. 40, SIAM, 2002.
- [10] J. Droniou, M. Ilyas, B.P. Lamichhane, and G.E. Wheeler, A mixed finite element method for a sixth-order elliptic problem, *IMA J. Numer. Anal.*, **39**:1 (2019), 374–397.
- [11] K.R. Elder, M. Katakowski, M. Haataja, and M. Grant, Modeling elasticity in crystal growth, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **88**:24 (2002), 245701.
- [12] T. Gudi and M. Neilan, An interior penalty method for a sixth-order elliptic equation, *IMA J. Numer. Anal.*, **31**:4 (2011), 1734–1753.
- [13] H. Guo, Z. Zhang, and Q. Zou, A C^0 linear finite element method for sixth order elliptic equations, *arXiv:1804.03793*, 2018.
- [14] J. Hu, T. Lin, and Q. Wu, A construction of C^r conforming finite element spaces in any dimension, *arXiv:2103.14924*, 2021.
- [15] J. Hu, X. Yang, and S. Zhang, Capacity of the Adini element for biharmonic equations, *J. Sci. Comput.*, **69**:3 (2016), 1366–1383.
- [16] J. Hu and S. Zhang, The minimal conforming H^k finite element spaces on $\mathbb{R}^n$ rectangular grids, *Math. Comp.*, **84**:292 (2015), 563–579.
- [17] J. Hu and S. Zhang, A canonical construction of H^m -nonconforming triangular finite elements, *Ann. Appl. Math.*, **33**:3 (2017), 266–288.
- [18] J. Hu and S. Zhang, A cubic H^3 -nonconforming finite element, *Commun. Appl. Math. Comput.*, **1**:1 (2019), 81–100.
- [19] M. Schedensack, A new discretization for m th-Laplace equations with arbitrary polynomial degrees, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, **54**:4 (2016), 2138–2162.
- [20] M. Wang, On the necessity and sufficiency of the patch test for convergence of nonconforming finite elements, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, **39**:2 (2001), 363–384.

- [21] M. Wang, Z.C. Shi, and J. Xu, A new class of Zienkiewicz-type non-conforming element in any dimensions, *Numer. Math.*, **106**:2 (2007), 335–347.
- [22] M. Wang, Z.C. Shi, and J. Xu, Some n -rectangle nonconforming elements for fourth order elliptic equations, *J. Comput. Math.*, **25**:4 (2007), 408–420.
- [23] M. Wang and J. Xu, Minimal finite element spaces for $2m$ -th-order partial differential equations in $\mathbb{R}^n$, *Math. Comp.*, **82** (2013), no. 281, 25–43.
- [24] S. Wu and J. Xu, $\mathcal{P}_m$ interior penalty nonconforming finite element methods for $2m$ -th order PDEs in $\mathbb{R}^n$, *arXiv:1710.07678*, 2017.
- [25] S. Wu and J. Xu, Nonconforming finite element spaces for $2m$ -th order partial differential equations on $\mathbb{R}^n$ simplicial grids when $m = n + 1$, *Math. Comp.*, **88**:316 (2019), 531–551.
- [26] A. Ženíšek, Interpolation polynomials on the triangle, *Numer. Math.*, **15** (1970), 283–296.
- [27] X. Zhou and Z. Meng, High accuracy nonconforming biharmonic element over n -rectangular meshes, *Numer. Methods Partial Differential Equations*, **36**:6 (2020), 2018–2034.