

Finite-time synchronization of time-delay Hindmarsh- Rose system with external disturbance

Chi Zhou, Zhong Zuo, Ruifeng Cao, Zuolei Wang

¹School of Mathematics and Statistics, Yancheng Teachers University, Yancheng 224002, China

(Received November 04, 2014, accepted April 23, 2015)

Abstract. In this paper, the finite-time synchronization of time-delay Hindmarsh-Rose with external disturbance is investigated. Based on Lyapunov stability theory, a scheme is proposed and controllers are constructed to realize it. Finally, numerical simulations are given to verify the theoretical results.

Keywords: Finite-time synchronization, Hindmarsh-Rose system, time-delay, external disturbance

1. Introduction

Recently, chaos synchronization has received much attention [1-5]. Many kinds of methods have been proposed to study the synchronization of chaotic system, such as drive-response synchronization method [6], adaptive control method [7], backstepping method [8], and so on. Various synchronization have been observed, such as complete synchronization [9], Lag synchronization [10], phase synchronization [11], etc. With further investigation, the time to realize synchronization received attention. Therefore finite-time synchronization is studied in many fields [12-17], especially in neuron system.

Experimental studies [18] suggested that synchronization has significant meaning in the information transferring of neurons. Meanwhile, in information transformation among neurons, not only the time-delay always exists, but also the external disturbance is inevitable. Therefore, it is necessary to investigate the synchronization of time-delay neural system with disturbance.

In this paper, finite-time synchronization of time-delay Hindmarsh-Rose system with external disturbance is to be explored. Other parts are arranged as follows. Section 2 gives some preliminaries. In section 3, a scheme is described to realize finite-time synchronization of time-delay Hindmarsh-Rose system with disturbance. Section 4 gives some numerical simulations. Result is given in Section 5.

2. Preliminaries

$$\dot{x} = f(x) + F(x)\alpha + d(x,t), \quad (1)$$

$$\dot{y} = g(y) + G(y)\beta + u(x,t), \quad (2)$$

where $x, y \in R^n$ are state vectors. $f(x), g(y) \in R^{n+1}$ are linear matrix functions. $F(x), G(y) \in R^{n+1}$ are nonlinear matrix functions. α, β are parameter vectors. $d(x,t) \in R^n$ is external disturbance. $u(x,t)$ is controller vector.

Hypothesis 1(H1): The nonlinear matrix function $g(x)$ satisfies Lipschitz condition, that is

$$\|g(x) - g(y)\| \leq L_g \|x - y\|,$$

where L_g is an appropriate positive constant. $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the norm of matrix or vector, defined as $\|A\| = (\sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{m \times n}$ or $\|x\| = (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for vector $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

Hypothesis 2(H2): The uncertain parameters α, β and disturbance $d(x,t)$ are all bounded in terms of norm, namely, there exist positive constants $\theta_\alpha, \theta_\beta, \theta_d$ such that

Let $e = y - x$, subtracting (1) from (2) yields

$$\dot{e} = \dot{y} - \dot{x} = g(y) - f(x) + G(y)\beta - F(x)\alpha + u(x,t) - d(x,t). \quad (3)$$

Therefore, to realize the finite-time synchronization of systems (1) and (2) means to obtain finite-time stability of error system (3). For this end, following definition of finite-time synchronization and some necessary lemmas are introduced as follows.

Definition 1[19] Consider two chaotic systems

$$\dot{x}_m = f(x_m),$$

$$\dot{x}_s = h(x_s), \quad (4)$$

where x_m, x_s are two n -dimensional vectors. The subscripts 'm' and 's' stand for the master and slave systems, respectively. $f: R^n \rightarrow R^n$ and $h: R^n \rightarrow R^n$ are vector-valued functions. If there is a positive constant T such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T} \|x_m - x_s\| = 0,$$

and $\|x_m - x_s\| \equiv 0$ if $t \geq T$, then it is said that the finite-time synchronization between two systems of (4) can be achieved.

Lemma 1 [20] Assume that a continuous, positive-definite function $V(t)$ satisfies the following differential inequality:

$$\dot{V}(t) \leq -cV^\eta(t), \quad \forall t \geq t_0, V(t_0) \geq 0, \quad (5)$$

where $c > 0$, $0 < \eta < 1$ are all constants. Then for any given t_0 , $V(t)$ satisfies following inequality:

$$V^{1-\eta}(t) \leq V^{1-\eta}(t_0) - c(1-\eta)(t-t_0), \quad t_0 \leq t \leq t_1, \quad (6)$$

and

$$V(t) \equiv 0, \quad \forall t \geq t_1 \quad (7)$$

with t_1 given by

$$t_1 = t_0 + \frac{V^{1-\eta}(t_0)}{c(1-\eta)}. \quad (8)$$

Proof. Consider differential equation:

$$\dot{X}(t) = -cX^\eta(t), \quad X(t_0) = V(t_0). \quad (9)$$

Although equation (9) doesn't satisfy the global Lipschitz condition, the unique solution of it can be found as

$$X^{1-\eta}(t) = X^{1-\eta}(t_0) - c(1-\eta)(t-t_0). \quad (10)$$

Therefore, from the comparison Lemma [20], it can be gotten that

$$V^{1-\eta} \leq X^{1-\eta} \leq V^{1-\eta}(t_0) - c(1-\eta)(t-t_0), \quad t_0 \leq t \leq t_1, \quad (11)$$

and

$$V(t) \equiv 0, \quad \forall t \geq t_1 \quad (12)$$

with t_1 given in (8).

Lemma 2 [21] Suppose $0 < r \leq 1$, a, b are positive constants, then the following inequality is quite straightforward:

$$(|a| + |b|)^r \leq |a|^r + |b|^r.$$

3. Finite-time synchronization of time-delay Hindmarsh-Rose system with disturbance

In this paper, Hindmarsh-Rose (HR) system with time-delay is considered as following:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= ax^2 - bx^3 + y - z(t-\tau) + I_{ext}, \\ \dot{y} &= c - dx^2 - y, \\ \dot{z} &= r(S(x+k) - z), \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where $\tau > 0$ is the time delay. When $\tau = 0$, model (13) is a mathematical representation of the firing behavior of neuron proposed by Hindmarsh and Rose [22]. In system (13), the variables x, y and z represent the membrane potential of the neuron, the recovery variable, and the adaptation current, respectively. The current I_{ext} represents an external influence on the system. a, b, c, d, r, S, k are real constants.

Model (13) may describe regular bursting or chaotic bursting for certain domains of the parameters. When $\tau = 1$, other parameters are chosen as $a = 3.0, b = 1.0, c = 1.0, d = 5.0, r = 0.006, S = 4.0, k = 1.6$, system (13) can show various complex dynamical behaviors with the changing of I_{ext} . For example, when $I_{ext} = 2.6$ and $I_{ext} = 3.1$, system (13) is regular bursting (Fig.1) and chaotic bursting (Fig.2), respectively.