

# Optimization of Atmospheric Plasma Surface Modification Process Using Decision Trees

Radhia Abd Jelil <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Textile Material and Processes Research Unit, University of Monastir, Tunisia*  
(Received October 11 2019, accepted November 28 2019)

**Abstract.** Decisions trees are one of the most commonly used data mining techniques to practically solve classification and prediction problems. They have tree shaped structures in which construction of trees is simple and unlike the logistic regression models, decision tree results can be easily understood by the users. In this study, a decision tree induction algorithm known as CART (Classification and Regression Trees) has been employed in order to better understand the influence of plasma parameters adjustment on polypropylene (PP) film's hydrophilic surface properties. The cross-validation method was used for pruning the decision tree. The root mean square errors (RMSE) and correlation coefficients (R) for training and test subsets were used in order to get the best fitting model. The obtained decision tree regression model showed excellent learning performance and achieved good predictive accuracy.

**Keywords:** Atmospheric plasma process, polypropylene, optimization, decision trees

## 1. Introduction

Surface treatment of textile and polymeric surfaces is usually necessary to alter their surface characteristics and to improve their adhesion properties. Many surface modification techniques like wet-chemical treatment, UV irradiation, and plasma treatment have been applied to polymer films to enhance their hydrophilic properties. Among these technologies, atmospheric-pressure plasma treatment has attracted much attention due to its dry process, low operation cost, and high productivity. This technology has been recognized as an environmentally friendly alternative to conventional wet-chemical processes, since it does not require the use of water and chemicals and since there no waste production [1].

A plasma is a partially ionized gas in neutral state containing highly excited atomic, molecular, ionic and radical species, as well as photons and electrons. During plasma treatment, these energetic species interact strongly with the substrate surface, usually via free-radical chemistry. The interaction depth is confined only to a few ten of nanometers without impairment of the material bulk properties. Essentially, four major effects on surfaces can be obtained depending on treatment conditions, namely cleaning, ablation, cross-linking, and surface activation. Many studies have been undertaken to investigate the effect of plasma treatment on polypropylene surfaces [2-5]. Though plasma treatment may result in many desirable surface modifications, there has not been much effort to optimize the main set of parameters governing plasma process, and then reliably reproduce the process outcome. Therefore, the exploration of data mining techniques like decision trees seems to be promising. Decision trees are a non-parametric supervised learning method that can be used for classification and regression. They are simple in construction, easy to understand, and robust even in the presence of missing data [6-8]. The goal is to create a model that predicts the value of a target variable by learning simple decision rules inferred from the data features. The main components of a decision tree model are nodes, branches, and leaf nodes (terminal nodes). Each node represents a mathematical or logical test upon specific attributes in the data set. Parent nodes can have two or more child nodes, depending on the induction algorithm chosen. The parent and child nodes are connected via branches that represent the outcomes of the test performed at the parent node. A leaf node has no children and represents a class label (decision taken after computing all attributes). The decision tree pathways can also be represented as "if-then" rules.

The objective of this study was to build a prediction model, using decision tree, for predicting polypropylene surface modification by atmospheric air plasma. A set of experimental data was collected for training this model. The inputs variables of the model were plasma treatment power and treatment speed. The target variable was polypropylene surface tension.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 describes the material and methods used. Section 3 presents and discusses the results, and finally Section 4 concludes the paper.

## 2. Material and methods

### 2.1. Plasma treatment

The substrate used in this study was a polypropylene film of a 30  $\mu\text{m}$ -thick. This film was put on the atmospheric plasma machine called “Coating Start” manufactured by Ahlbrant System (Germany) to carry out atmospheric air plasma treatment [9]. The following machine parameters were kept constant: frequency of 26 KHz, electrode length of 0.5 mm and inter-electrode distance of 1.5 mm. The process factors that were varied include the electrical power and treatment speed. Their experimental ranges are shown in Table 1. The PP surface tension data was collected by means of contact angle measurement. A total of 16 samples were performed to develop our predictive decision tree model. Experimentally, we have found that the surface tension of PP film increases to some extent with increasing electrical power and with decreasing the treatment speed.

Table1. Experimental factors and ranges.

Parameter	Range	Unit
Electrical power	300-1000	Watts
Treatment speed	2-10	m/min

### 2.2. Decision tree approach

In the present study, decisions trees were constructed using the CART algorithm. CART stands for classification and regression trees, a non-parametric statistical algorithm developed by Leo Breiman et al. [10]. CART is a binary recursive partitioning technique. CART methodology comprises three main stages: growing or splitting decision trees, pruning, and selection of the optimal tree.

**2.2.1. Splitting.** The process of tree building begins by splitting the root node into two child nodes. CART computes the best split by considering all probable splits for each independent or explanatory variable. The best split is obtained when the impurity function, which exists between the parent node and two child nodes, is minimized. Using best split, which reduces impurity as a splitting criterion, an over large or complex tree is grown following recursive partitioning of the nodes. Though the tree interprets data perfectly, when it overfits the data, the predictive ability becomes low. Therefore, there is a need to build a tree with better accuracy and predictive ability.

**2.2.2. Pruning.** Pruning develops an optimal tree, by shedding off the branches of the large tree. The pruning procedure develops a sequence of smaller trees and computes cost complexity for each tree. The cost complexity is measured by the number of leaves in the tree, and error rate of the tree. Based on the cost-complexity parameter, the pruning procedure determines the optimal tree with high accuracy.

**2.3.3. Selection of the optimal tree.** The optimal tree is one that has the smallest prediction error for new samples. In our case, prediction error is measured using cross-validation. This method consists in dividing the sample in 10 groups or ‘folds’, and testing the model developed from 9 folds on the 10th fold, repeated for all ten combinations, and averaging the rates or erroneous predictions. Among the different trees, the simplest tree that has the lowest cross validation error rate is selected as the optimal tree. But the tree at this stage is considered to be unstable, as the results predicted from the decision tree can change rapidly with slight change in the training dataset. Therefore, in order to avoid instability, a “one-standard error” rule is used with cross-validation. According to this rule, the tree with the smallest size and cross-validation error within one standard deviation error of the minimal cross-validation error is selected as the optimal tree. The selection of the optimal tree concludes the final stage of CART and the prediction is made by observing the tree from the root node to the terminal nodes and values in the terminal nodes. The performance of the predictive model is assessed by means of Pearson’s correlation coefficient (R) and root mean square error (RMSE). The RMSE gives the standard deviation of the model prediction error, so the smaller its value, the better the model performance is.

## 3. Results and discussions