

# Existence and Multiplicity of Weak Solutions for a Class of Variable Exponent Elliptic Equations

LIU Jingjing<sup>1</sup> and ZHAO Chunshan<sup>2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics and Information Science, Zhengzhou University of Light Industry, Zhengzhou 450002, China;

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematical Sciences, Georgia Southern University, Statesboro, GA 30460, USA.

Received 28 September 2022; Accepted 11 February 2023

---

**Abstract.** In this paper we will study the existence of one, two and three weak solutions for a class of variable exponent elliptic equations under appropriate growth conditions on the nonlinearity. Our technical approach is based on the existence theorems obtained by G. Bonanno.

**AMS Subject Classifications:** 35D30, 35J70

**Chinese Library Classifications:** O175.25

**Key Words:** Weak solutions;  $p(x)$ -Laplacian; weighted variable exponent Sobolev spaces.

---

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we will consider existence and multiplicity of weak solutions of the following variable exponent equation

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div} \mathbf{A}(x, \nabla u) + a(x) |u|^{p(x)-2} u + h(x) |u|^{r(x)-2} u = \lambda f(x, u), & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (P_\lambda)$$

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is an open bounded domain with smooth boundary,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbf{A}: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  admits a potential  $\mathcal{A}$ , with respect to its second variable  $\xi$ . We denote by  $v_1(x) \ll v_2(x)$  if

$$\operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x \in \Omega} [v_2(x) - v_1(x)] > 0.$$

Next we will state some hypotheses on  $(P_\lambda)$ .

---

\*Corresponding author. Email addresses: jingjing830306@163.com. czhao@georgiasouthern.edu.

( $\mathcal{A}_1$ ) The potential  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(x, \xi)$  is a continuous function in  $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N$ , with continuous derivative with respect to  $\xi$ ,  $\mathbf{A} = \partial_{\xi} \mathcal{A}(x, \xi)$ , and satisfies

- (i)  $\mathcal{A}(x, 0) = 0$  and  $\mathcal{A}(x, \xi) = \mathcal{A}(x, -\xi)$  for all  $(x, \xi) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N$ ;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{A}(x, \cdot)$  is strictly convex in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ;
- (iii) There exist constants  $k_1, k_2 > 0$  and an exponent  $p(x) \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  such that

$$k_1 |\xi|^{p(x)} \leq \mathbf{A}(x, \xi) \cdot \xi, \quad |\mathbf{A}(x, \xi)| \leq k_2 |\xi|^{p(x)-1} \quad (1.1)$$

for all  $(x, \xi) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N$ , where  $1 \ll p(x) \ll N$ , and  $p(x) \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\Omega)$  means that  $p(\cdot)$  is log-Hölder continuous in  $\Omega$ , i.e., there exists a constant  $p_{\infty}$  satisfying

$$|p(x) - p(y)| \leq \frac{k_3}{\ln\left(e + \frac{1}{|x-y|}\right)} \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \Omega,$$

$$|p(x) - p_{\infty}| \leq \frac{k_4}{\ln(e + |x|)} \quad \text{for all } x \in \Omega.$$

( $\mathcal{A}_2$ )  $\mathcal{A}$  is uniformly convex, i.e., for any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ , there exists a  $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) \in (0, 1)$  such that either  $|u - v| \leq \varepsilon \max\{|u|, |v|\}$ , or  $\mathcal{A}(x, (\xi + \eta)/2) \leq \frac{1}{2}(1 - \delta)[\mathcal{A}(x, \xi) + \mathcal{A}(x, \eta)]$  for any  $x, \xi, \eta \in \Omega$ .

( $\mathcal{H}_1$ ) (i)  $a(x) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ , and for some constant  $k_5 \in (0, 1]$  the coefficient  $a(x)$  satisfies

$$a(x) \geq k_5(1 + |x|)^{-p(x)} \quad \text{for all } x \in \Omega; \quad (1.2)$$

(ii)  $0 < h(x) \in L^1(\Omega)$  and the exponent  $r(x)$  is continuous in  $\Omega$ .

( $f_1$ )  $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a Carathéodory function satisfying

$$|f(x, t)| \leq k_6 + k_7 |t|^{q(x)-1}, \quad \text{for all } (x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.3)$$

here  $k_6, k_7$  are two positive constants,  $q(x) \in C(\overline{\Omega})$  and  $q(x) \ll p(x)$ ,  $q(x) \ll r(x)$ .

By condition ( $\mathcal{A}_1$ ), we have

$$\frac{k_1}{p(x)} |\xi|^{p(x)} \leq \mathcal{A}(x, \xi) \leq \mathbf{A}(x, \xi) \cdot \xi \leq k_2 |\xi|^{p(x)}. \quad (1.4)$$

A typical example of elliptic operator  $\mathbf{A}$  is  $\mathbf{A}(x, \nabla u) = |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u$ , so that

$$-\operatorname{div} \mathbf{A}(x, \nabla u) = -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u) = -\Delta_{p(x)} u,$$

which is called the  $p(x)$ -Laplacian and satisfies ( $\mathcal{A}_1$ ) and ( $\mathcal{A}_2$ ) if  $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $1 < p^- \leq p^+ < N$ . Here

$$p^+ = \operatorname{esssup}_{x \in \Omega} p(x), \quad p^- = \operatorname{essinf}_{x \in \Omega} p(x).$$

Eq. ( $P_{\lambda}$ ) has been studied in many papers, for example [1–10]. They proved existence, nonexistence and multiplicity results in bounded domain [1] and unbounded domains [9] respectively. The study of the existence of entire solutions of ( $P_{\lambda}$ ) with  $f(x, u) =$